

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USUN N 03331 192039Z

70

ACTION DLOS-06

INFO OCT-01 IO-13 ISO-00 ACDA-07 AGR-05 AID-05 CEA-01

CEQ-01 CG-00 CIAE-00 CIEP-01 COME-00 DODE-00 DOTE-00

EB-07 EPA-01 ERDA-05 FMC-01 TRSE-00 H-02 INR-07

INT-05 JUSE-00 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 NSF-01 OES-06

OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 SAL-01

FEA-01 AF-08 ARA-06 EA-07 EUR-12 NEA-10 OIC-02 /160 W  
----- 054108

P 192002Z AUG 76

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 8759

C O N F I D E N T I A L USUN 3331

FROM US LOSDEL

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PLOS

SUBJ: LOS: MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH YANKOV CONSULTATIONS  
COMMITTEE III DAILY REPORT (17 AUGUST 1976)

1. DISCUSSION IN YANKOV CONSULTATIONS ON AUGUST 16 AND  
17 REFLECT DISTINCT LACK OF ENTHUSIASM TO DISCUSS  
ARTICLE 60. YANKOV FUCUSED DISCUSSIONS ON SCIENTIFIC  
PROPOSALS WHICH HAD BEEN PREVIOUSLY PUT FORWARD. IN  
RESPONSE, U.S. TABLED PROPOSAL THAT MARINE SCIENTIFIC  
RESEARCH SHALL NOT REQUIRE THE CONSENT OF THE COASTAL  
STATE UNLESS AND SET FORTH A MODIFIED LISTING OF SUB-  
PARAGRAPHS (A) (B) AND (D) FROM ARTICLE 60 OF RSNT.  
FRANCE INTERVENED TO STATE SUBPARA. (C) NOT STRONG  
ENOUGH AND SUGGESTED QTE HAMPER UNQTE IN PLACE OF QTE  
INTERFERE UNQTE. EGYPT ATTACKED PARAGRAPH 2 OF ARTICLE  
60, WHILE IRAQ CALLED FOR SUPPRESSION OF COMPETING  
PROPOSALS AND INSTEAD SUGGESTED THERE SHOULD ONLY BE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USUN N 03331 192039Z

SPECIFIC AMENDMENTS OFFERED TO THE RSNT. JAPAN

SUPPORTED PROPOSAL OF FRG REGARDING CHAPEAU OF ARTICLE 60 BUT WOULD STATE THAT CONSENT SHOULD BE REQUIRED QTE ONLY IF THE PROJECT UNQTE AND THERE WOULD FOLLOW A LISTING SIMILAR TO THAT IN THE RSNT.

2. DURING A SUBSEQUENT MEETING WITH AMORPHOUS GROUP, AGREEMENT WAS REACHED THAT SUBPARA. (C) SHOULD NOT BE A CONSENT ACTIVITY BUT TREATED IN ARTICLE 51 AS A GENERAL OBLIGATION. FRG AT AUGUST 17 MEETING OF YANKOV CONSULTATIONS CLARIFIED THEIR POSITION REGARDING SUBPARA (C) ALONG LINES OF DISCUSSIONS IN AMORPHOUS GROUP. PAKISTAN INTERVENED SUPPORTING PARAGRAPH 1 OF ARTICLE 60 AND SUGGESTED DELETION OF PARAGRAPH 2. KENYA OBSERVED DISCUSSIONS APPEARED NOT BE LEADING ANYWHERE AND SUGGESTED NEGOTIATIONS MIGHT BE TRANSFERRED TO ANOTHER FORUM. THE USSR IN THEIR FIRST INTERVENTION IN YANKOV CONSULTATIONS SAID GROUP HAS NOT EXHAUSTED POSSIBILITIES ON ARTICLE 60 BUT PERHAPS SHOULD NOW ADDRESS ARTICLE 64 AND 65 AND THEN RETURN TO DISCUSS ARTICLE 60.

3. MEXICO DEFENDED ITS TEXT STATING IT WAS NOT SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT FROM RSNT OR EVEN PROPOSAL OF BRAZIL. PERU ENDORSED KENYAN SUGGESTION OF DIFFERENT NEGOTIATING FORUM AND SUGGESTED YANKOV ESTABLISH A VERY SMALL GROUP TO TRY TO HAMMER OUT COMPROMISE. LIBERIA ENDORSED BRAZILIAN PROPOSAL BUT SAID COULD ACCEPT MEXICAN TEXT IF EXPRESS CONSENT WAS PROVIDED AND CONSENT WAS REQUIRED FOR RESEARCH AFFECTING SECURITY OF COASTAL STATES. TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO EXPRESSED PREFERENCE FIRST FOR ECUADORIAN PROPOSAL, THEN BRAZILIAN STATING THERE WERE COMMON ELEMENTS IN ALL PROPOSALS EVEN THE U.S. PROPOSAL. SUGGESTED AMENDING U.S. PROPOSAL FROM CONSENT SHALL NOT BE REQUIRED TO CONSENT SHALL BE REQUIRED ONLY IF.

4. AUSTRALIA MADE STRONG STATEMENT STRESSING NECESSITY FOR SETTLEMENT THAT COULD BE ACCEPTED NOT ONLY BY LARGER NUMBER OF STATES BUT LARGER POWERS AS WELL. AUSTRALIA THEN SUGGESTED THE FOLLOWING:  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USUN N 03331 192039Z

(1) MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ACTIVITIES IN THE ECONOMIC ZONE OR ON THE CONTINENTAL SHELF SHALL BE PROMOTED AND FACILITATED BY THE COASTAL STATE. TO THIS END, ALL STATES SHALL ENCOURAGE MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ACTIVITIES WHICH FURTHER THE OBJECTIVES OF CHAPTER III OF THIS PART OF THE CONVENTION.

(2) A STATE MAY NOT WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE COASTAL STATE, CARRY OUT IN THE ECONOMIC ZONE OF THAT COASTAL STATE, A RESEARCH PROJECT WHICH IN THE OPINION OF THE COASTAL STATE AND THEN WOULD FOLLOW THE SUBPARAGRAPHS IN THE RSNT.

5. YANKOV AT CONCLUSION OF MEETING SUGGESTED THAT NEXT MEETING FOCUS UPON ARTICLES 64 AND 65. IN THE MEANTIME, HE WOULD UNDERTAKE CONSULTATION WITH A LIMITED NUMBER OF THE MORE ACTIVE DELEGATIONS AND PRODUCE A PROPOSAL TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE LARGER YANKOV CONSULTATION GROUP ON AUGUST 19.

END  
BENNETT

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** LAW OF THE SEA, AGREEMENT DRAFT, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, OCEANOGRAPHIC RESEARCH, MEETING PROCEEDINGS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 19 AUG 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** ShawDG  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976USUNN03331  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760318-1112  
**From:** USUN NEW YORK  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760836/aaaabfer.tel  
**Line Count:** 130  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION DLOS  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ShawDG  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 17 MAY 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <17 MAY 2004 by greeneet>; APPROVED <02 NOV 2004 by ShawDG>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** LOS: MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH YANKOV CONSULTATIONS COMMITTEE III DAILY REPORT (17 AUGUST 1976)  
**TAGS:** PLOS  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006